

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

m'

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark, Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,066	10/079,066 02/20/2002		Kevin P. Cowan	VI/01-006	5110
21140	7590	04/19/2004		EXAMINER	
GREGOR	Y L BRA	DLEY	HAN, MARK K		
MEDRAD INC ONE MEDRAD DRIVE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
INDIANOL	INDIANOLA, PA 15051				8
				DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	10/079,066	COWAN ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark K Han	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 February 2004.							
•							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-90 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-90 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail						
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 3763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

1. The previous restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn. A new restriction requirement follows.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-27, drawn to a syringe connector system, classified in class 604, subclass 187.
  - II. Claims 28-51, 53-56 and 61-90, drawn to a connector, classified in class 285, subclass 332.
  - III. Claims 59 and 60, drawn to a method of connecting a connector, classified in class 29, subclass 592.
  - IV. Claim 52, drawn to an injector system, classified in class 604, subclass 131.
  - V. Claims 57 and 58, drawn to a method of fabricating a connector, classified in class 264, subclass 239.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the system does not require an attachment member attached to a cooperating fitting (claims 28 and 44), a plurality of resilient extending arms (claims 46 and 53), a forward

Art Unit: 3763

and rearward portion (claims 61 and 66), an indicator (claim 72), an annular deformable seal (claim 79), a deformable conduit (claim 81), a slidable collet (claim 84), and a pitched flange (claim 86). The subcombination has separate utility such as a garden hose attachment.

- 4. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by using a torsional force.
- Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the system does not require a radially inward threaded portion. The subcombination has separate utility such as a syringe not requiring a drive member.
- 6. Inventions I and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the connector can be made in parts instead of integrally.
- 7. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

Art Unit: 3763

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be assembled by using a torsional force.

- 8. Inventions II and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the injector system does not require the specifics of the connector shown as above. The subcombination has separate utility such as a garden hose attachment.
- 9. Inventions II and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the connector can be made in parts instead of integrally.
- Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions 10. are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be assembled using a torsional force.
- Inventions III and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a 11. single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be

Art Unit: 3763

Page 5

separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as using a connector made in parts instead of integrally as required by invention V. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 12. Inventions IV and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the connector in the injector system can be made in parts instead of integrally.
- 13. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 14. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I as drawn to Figure 3A.

Species II as drawn to Figure 4A.

Species III as drawn to Figure 5A.

Species IV as drawn to Figure 6A.

Species V as drawn to Figure 7A.

Species VI as drawn to Figure 8A.

Species VII as drawn to Figure 9A.

Species VIII as drawn to Figure 10.

Species IX as drawn to Figure 11A.

Species X as drawn to Figure 12A.

Art Unit: 3763

Species XI as drawn to Figure 13.

Species XII as drawn to Figure 14.

Species XIII as drawn to Figure 15.

Species XIV as drawn to Figure 16.

Species XV as drawn to Figure 17.

Species XVI as drawn to Figure 18.

Species XVII as drawn to Figure 19.

Species XVIII as drawn to Figure 20.

Species XIX as drawn to Figure 21.

Species XX as drawn to Figure 22.

Species XXI as drawn to Figure 23A.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 3763

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

15. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark K Han whose telephone number is 703-308-4543. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

with.

Mark Han Patent Examiner Art Unit 3763

mkh April 16, 2004

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT, EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700